

# ROUTING SHEET

## APPLICATION TO BE DELIVERED TO:

**O I P E**  
**CP2-6C17**  
**LOCA 0380**

### Sequence Rule Compliance Review Item

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CRF, paper copy of sequence listing, and statement that both are same missing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CRF contains error(s) according to STIC Report                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CRF damaged or unreadable according to STIC Report                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CRF transferred from prior application is not compliant                       |

**X** other problem

**Place an “X” in the appropriate box**

# APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER

enter S/N

09/914,549

**DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE  
SEQUENCE RULES. See reasons below.**

**This comment sheet can be used with any of the three routing sheets - (SAMPLE) brief reason (e.g., see page(s), figure(s), etc. as to why the application is being returned. This needs to be type written because it will be sent to applicant by PCT, OIPE and PCT/DO/EO.**

**Sample comments should be specific:**

**Page(s) 23, 69 (lines 2 and 23 respectively) contain sequences not found in the CRF. See also figures 1A and 4C.**

Claims and specification contain sequences without SEQ ID NOs. It is unclear, for example, what SEQ ID NO has been assigned to Figure 1. It is unclear which sequence Figure 1 corresponds to on the paper sequence listing and on the CD. SEQ ID NOs should also be provided for peptide sequences (for example, see motifs listed on page 10 of the specification). In looking at the sequence listing entered by OIPE on February 21, 2003, it is unclear what these sequences are as nothing in the specification teaches what they are.



AMY J. NELSON, PH.D  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600